UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,778	03/23/2007	Justas Barauskas	613-109	1581
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 07/09/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			AHMED, HASAN SYED	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,778	BARAUSKAS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HASAN S. AHMED	1618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2-13 is/are withdrawn 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r. from consideration.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accellent and any objection to the confidence of the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/21/06, 6/6/08.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/586,778 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of applicants': (a) IDS, filed on 21 July 2006; (b)

supplemental IDS, filed on 6 June 2008; and (c) response to requirement for restriction,

filed on 6 June 2008.

Election/Restrictions

Applicants' election with traverse of Group I in the reply filed on 6 June 2008 is

acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that consideration was not given to

non-lamellar particles as a special technical feature. This is not found persuasive

because, as claimed, applicants' composition contains the same components in the

same configuration as the prior art. Properties are the same when the structure and

composition are the same. *In re Fitzgerald*, 205 USPQ 594. . .

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 2-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or

linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply

filed on 6 June 2008.

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which

papers have been placed of record in the file.

* * * * *

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/11682

("Liu").

Liu discloses a particulate composition (particle size of 155 nm) comprising a

DNA:emulsion, in turn comprising 50% DOPE and 50% Tween 80 (Polysorbate 80).

See Table 2, page 26, Formulation #17.

Regarding the non-lamellar property of the particles, as, claimed, applicants'

composition contains the same components in the same configuration as the prior art.

Properties are the same when the structure and composition are the same. Thus,

burden shifts to applicant to show unexpected results, by declaration or otherwise. *In re*

Fitzgerald, 205 USPQ 594. In the alternative, the claimed properties would have been

present once the composition was employed in its intended use. *In re Best*, 195 USPQ

433.

*

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to HASAN S. AHMED whose telephone number is

(571)272-4792. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,778 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael G. Hartley can be reached on (571)272-0616. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/H. S. A./

Examiner, Art Unit 1618

/Humera N. Sheikh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618